

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/580,607	Applicant(s) DUBEDOUT ET AL.	
	Examiner JAMES M. HEWITT	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) JAMES M. HEWITT. (3) _____.

(2) Lee Stepina. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4, 6, 27, 30, 32-37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 4, 6, 27, 30 and 37 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to preclude any 112 rejections and to place the case in condition for allowance. Claims 32-36 are canceled as being drawn to a non-elected invention without traverse.